

Case report

Foreign body in the external auditory canal described as a pseudotumor of the middle ear: a case report

Nemanja Radivojević¹, Dragoslava Djerić^{1,2}, Zoran Dudvarski^{1,2}, Igor Djorić³, Ivana Živković⁴, Siniša Šolaja⁵

¹Clinical Center of Serbia,
Clinic for Otorhinolaryngology and
Maxillofacial Surgery, Belgrade Serbia
²University of Belgrade,
Faculty of Medicine, Belgrade, Serbia
³Clinical Center of Serbia,
Department of Neurosurgery,
Belgrade, Serbia
⁴Clinical Center of Serbia,
Clinic for Cardiology, Belgrade, Serbia
⁵University Hospital Foca,
Department of Otorhinolaryngology
and Maxillofacial Surgery,
Republic of Srpska,
Bosnia and Herzegovina

Primljen – Received: 13/09/2021 Prihvaćen – Accepted: 05/06/2023

Corresponding author:

Siniša Šolaja, MD, Assistant Professor Studentska 5, 73300 Foča solajasinisa@gmail.com

Copyright: ©2023 Nemanja Radivojević et al. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) license.

Summary

Introduction. The aim of this article was to present a case of a foreign body in the external auditory canal described as a pseudotumor of the middle ear, as well as to point out diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of this problem.

Case report. An 8-year-old girl was hospitalized several times in our department due to the surgery of left-sided chronic otitis media. Mastoidectomy and posterior atticotomy were performed during initial hospitalization. Six months later, she was admitted for the second act of the left-sided tympanoplasty. However, two months prior hospitalization, symptoms regarding the right ear appeared: sense of fullness, gradual hearing loss and occasional pain. An otoscopic finding on the right indicated the presence of "tumefaction" in the external auditory canal with a surface that was markedly hyperemic. This pseudotumor was finally interpreted as the foreign body in the external auditory canal.

Conclusion. Foreign bodies in the external auditory canal (EAC) are relatively common in pediatric population. The medical history sometimes is not reliable, and the clinical and radiological interpretation of pseudotumor in the external auditory canal or middle ear must include this possibility in the differential diagnosis as well. Surgical exploration and pathohistological diagnosis are necessary to make a definitive diagnosis and to avoid potential complications.

Key words: external auditory canal, pseudotumor, foreign bodies, tympanic cavity

Introduction

Foreign bodies in the external auditory canal (EAC) are encountered mainly in children, who put foreign objects in the ear out of curiosity or it happens by accident. The list of potential foreign bodies is very wide, and the size, nature and consistency of the foreign body are limiting factors for entering the external auditory canal. Different foreign bodies, such as seeds, marbles, stones, jewelry parts, bobby pins, as well as living foreign bodies, insects and their larvae are described in literature [1].

Finding of the foreign bodies in external auditory canal are relatively common in medicine. In contrast, foreign bodies in the middle ear are very rare [2]. They occur mostly due to the violent perforation of the tympanic membrane and displacement from the external ear canal into the tympanic cavity. This can cause damage of the eardrum and ossicles, leading to the middle ear inflammation. If the eardrum perforation heals spontaneously, conductive hearing loss may persist as the only sign of damage, sometimes even years after the injury [3].

In some cases of "alternative" treatments, "forgotten" or unrecognized foreign bodies of different nature may remain in the external auditory canal. Various pathological changes with or without clinical manifestations may form over time due to the reaction of the surrounding tissue. There have been no comparative studies performed to guide the clinician as to which removal method best suits a particular clinical scenario. A number of foreign bodies found in the EAC are spherical, and cannot be retrieved with forceps as could be done with those irregularly shaped. In those cases, a single failed attempt of foreign body removal may result in complications (laceration, tympanic membrane perforation), but, also more impaction of the body, requiring removal under general anaestesia.

The aim of this article is to present a case of the foreign body in the external auditory canal described as a pseudotumor of the middle ear, as well as to point out diagnostic and therapeutic aspects of this problem.

Case report

An 8-year-old girl was hospitalized several times in our department due to the surgery of left-sided chronic otitis media. Mastoidectomy and posterior atticotomy were performed during initial hospitalization. Postoperative course went well with satisfactory local findings and improved hearing function. Six months later, she was admitted for the second act of the left-sided tympanoplasty. However, two months prior hospitalization, symptoms regarding the right ear appeared: sense of fullness, gradual hearing loss and occasional pain. Therefore, she was treated in the regional hospital with local antibiotics and short-term oral antibiotics therapy. Last swab of the right ear revealed a fungal infection.

On admission to the clinic, she supposedly had no complaints of the right ear. A local finding on the left ear was satisfactory. She had dry central perforation in the anterior lower quadrant, and a hearing has improved significantly compared to preoperative findings. However, an otoscopic finding on the right indicated the presence of "tumefaction" in the external auditory canal with a surface that was markedly hyperemic.

MSCT of the temporal bone showed the presence of growth, described as a "polypoid mass" located in tympanic cavity, expanding from the promontory towards the lumen of the external auditory canal (Figure 1).



Figure 1. MSCT of temporal bone and diameter of foreign body



Figure 2. Audiometry before surgical treatment

Audiology findings showed right-sided mixed hearing loss (Figure 2).

Consequently, surgery of the right ear was performed, instead of initially planned left ear. The exploration of the right ear discovered a mass, pointed to the foreign body, encapsulated with surrounding proliferative tissue. The mass was removed, and pathohistological findings confirmed the presence of the foreign body (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Removed silicone ear protector

Additional medical history indicated the possibility of remained protective cap used for swimming, few months ago. The child was restrained, and the mother denied knowing about it. After removing the mass, a very repressed, retracted, but mobile eardrum was found. Except for slightly thickened mucosa, no pathological changes were found. Postoperative hearing function has been rapidly improved, resulting in recovery of hearing function of the right ear on follow-up examination. Over the next few months, the findings were satisfactory.

Discussion

The frequency of foreign bodies in the external auditory meatus as well as their characteristics (material, shape and size) have been changing over time. In earlier periods, organic material (grains, seeds) was the most common, while nowadays the range of foreign bodies have been expanded to various structures (batteries, buttons, parts of toys). The occurrence of insects is becoming frequent in some parts in the world [4]. Clinical features, diagnostic and treatment procedures depend on the characteristics of the foreign body. The presence of the foreign body should be considered in patients who develop clinical features and symptoms such as pain, ringing in the ears, severe infections, dizziness and hearing loss. In addition, none of these symptoms may be present.

Chalishazar et al. [5] showed that 25 of 37 children with the foreign body in external auditory meatus had associated middle ear pathology compared to the control group. Schulze et al. [1] also showed that the inflammation of the middle ear was the most common associated pathology in these patients. Otitis externa, hematomas, granulation tissue and perforation of the eardrum are common complications of the foreign body presence in the external auditory canal, but sometimes extra- and intracranial complications can develop.

Goldman et al. [6] describes the case of a patient who developed mastoiditis and brain abscess as a consequence of the presence of the foreign body.

Burke et al. [7] showed a case of a 12 yearold girl with mastoiditis and meningitis due to the presence of cotton fiber in the external auditory meatus. The granuloma around the foreign body is the result of permanent inflammation that is associated with the type of material, in our case the silicone used to make earplugs.

Harris CK et al. [8] presented a case of a 9-year-old child with a polypoid mass in the external auditory canal, and pathohistologically it turned out to be the foreign body with proliferating granulation tissue. He showed that the foreign body reaction had the ability to erode the bone of the external auditory canal. The identification of foreign bodies in the external auditory canal requires, first and foremost, clinical suspicion. Clinical examination, otomicroscopy or otoendoscopy, audiology and CT diagnostics are all part of the diagnostic protocol. This is especially important in ambiguous situations or when some treatment has already been implemented, as in our case. Described cases of unreported, forgotten or overlooked foreign bodies are important for several reasons. Detailed history is very useful in the diagnostic terms, which was incomplete in our case. Just when the signs of secondary infection appeared, therapy was based on the swab findings. The appearance of granulation tissue around the foreign body contributed to the initial description of CT scans as a pseudotumor of the middle ear.

Differential diagnosis of the foreign body includes several rare, but potentially dangerous conditions, like glomus tumor or other serious pathologic conditions. Knowledge of the differential diagnosis is important in avoiding a delay in establishing the diagnosis and potential morbidity.

Therefore, precise conclusion is only possible with surgical exploration of tympanic cavity, biopsy or complete removal. In our case, the origin of the foreign body has been identified intraoperatively and confirmed by pathohistology after removal.

The quick diagnostic and therapeutic approach prevented the occurrence of possible complications that sometimes could be very serious.

In modern literature, there are very few cases of foreign bodies seen in the middle ear. Sims and Nelson [2] showed four cases of impressions of foreign bodies in the timpanic cavity with subsequent chronic inflammation. Skandour et al. [9] revealed that the presence of the foreign body can remain unnoticed a longer period of time, with the hearing loss and a complete recovery after the extraction. Eleftheriadou et al. [3] described the case of metallic foreign body in the middle ear in a patient who worked as a welder, who got a metal splinter pierced into external auditory meatus, eardrum, and reached the tympanic cavity. Karimnejad K. et al (2017) reported a large review of 1197 pediatric patients with external auditory canal foreign bodies, of which 750 (63%) were presented primarily to the emergency department. Successful

removal was performed in 92.9% and 67.9% of cases in otolaryngology clinic in and the emergency department, respectively. Also, complications were found in 35.7% and 5.0% of patients undergoing removal in the emergency department and in the otolaryngology clinic, respectively [10].

With this work we wanted to point out the possibility of the presence of the foreign body as the cause of recurrent inflammatory and even pseudotumor reactions in the body.

It is often not possible to perform identification and analysis of the foreign body, especially after its delayed removal from the body. We think, however, that it would certainly be of clinical interest to identify and analyze the structure of the foreign body,

Funding source. The authors received no specific funding for this work.

Ethical approval. This article does not contain any studies with human participants performed by any of the authors.

even speculatively. The composition of the foreign body affects the possibility of its radiological identification, and it also determines the biological reaction to its presence.

Conclusion

Ear foreign bodies are relatively common in pediatric population. Given that the medical history is sometimes not reliable, the clinical and radiological interpretation of pseudotumor in the external auditory canal or middle ear must include this possibility in the differential diagnosis as well. Surgical exploration is necessary to make the definite diagnosis and to avoid potential complications.

Conflicts of interest. The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References:

- 1. Schulze SL, Kerschner J, Beste D. Paediatric external auditory canal foreign bodies: A review of 698 cases. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2002;127(1):73–8.
- 2. Syms 3rd CA, Nelson RA. Impression-material foreign bodies of the middle ear and external auditory canal. Otolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery 1998;119(4):406–7.
- 3. Eleftheriadou A, Chalastras T, Kyrmizakis D, Sfetsos S, Dagalakis K, Kandiloros D. Metallic foreign body in middle ear: an unusual cause of hearing loss. Head Face Med 2007;3:23.
- 4. Đerić D, Pavlović B, Folić M, Blažić S, Čvorović Lj. Tick on the tympanic membrane. Med Pregl 2014;67(11-12):404–6.
- 5. Chalishazar UK, Singh V. Correlation between a foreign body in the external auditory canal and otitis media with effusion. J Laryngol Otol 2007;121(9):850–2.

- 6. Goldman SA, Ankerstjerne JK, Welker KB, Chen DA. Fatal meningitis and brain abscess resulting from foreign body-induced otomastoiditis. Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 1998; 118(1):6–8.
- Burke RT, Gatton B, Melville LD. Mastoiditis and meningitis complicating an aural foreign body. Pediatr Emerg Care 2012;28(10):1070–1.
- Harris KC, Conley SF, Kerschner JE. Foreign body granuloma of the external auditory canal. Pediatrics 2004;113(4):e371–3.
- Skandour D, Nouri H, Rochdi Y, Aderdour L, Raji A. [Persistent otorrhea revealing a foreign body of the middle ear]. Arch Pediatr 2014;21(8):873–5.
- 10. Karimnejad K, Nelson EJ, Rohde RL, Costa DJ. External Auditory Canal Foreign Body Extraction Outcomes. Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol 2017;126(11):755–61.

Strano tijelo u spoljašnjem slušnom kanalu opisano kao pseudotumor srednjeg uva: prikaz slučaja

Nemanja Radivojević¹, Dragoslava Đerić^{1,2}, Zoran Dudvarski^{1,2}, Igor Đorić³, Ivana Živković⁴, Siniša Šolaja⁵

¹Klinički centar Srbije, Klinika za otorinolaringologiju i maksilofacijalnu hirurgiju, Beograd Srbija

²Univerzitet u Beogradu, Medicinski fakultet, Beograd, Srbija ³Klinički centar Srbije, Odeljenje za neurohirurgiju, Beograd, Srbija

⁴Klinički centar Srbije, Klinika za kardiologiju, Beograd, Srbija

⁵Univerzitetska bolnica Foča, Odjeljenje za otorinolaringologiju i maksilofacijalnu hirurgiju, Republika Srpska, Bosna i Hercegovina

Uvod. Cilj ovog rada bio je da se prikaže slučaj stranog tijela spoljašnjeg slušnog kanala opisanog kao pseudotumor srednjeg uva, kao i da se ukaže na dijagnostičke i terapijske aspekte ovog problema.

Prikaz slučaja. Djevojčica od 8 godina je više puta hospitalizovana na našem odjeljenju zbog operacije lijevostrane hronične upale srednjeg uha. Mastoidektomija i zadnja atikotomija su urađene tokom početne hospitalizacije. Šest mjeseci kasnije primljena je na drugi čin lijevostrane timpanoplastike. Međutim, dva mjeseca prije hospitalizacije pojavili su se simptomi desnog uva: osjećaj punoće, postepeni gubitak sluha i povremeni bol. Otoskopski nalaz desno ukazuje na prisustvo "tumefakcije" u spoljašnjem slušnom kanalu sa površinom koja je bila izrazito hiperemična. Ovaj pseudotumor je konačno protumačen kao strano tijelo u vanjskom slušnom kanalu.

Zaključak. Strana tijela vanjskog slušnog kanala su relativno česta u pedijatrijskoj populaciji. Anamneza ponekad nije pouzdana, a klinička i radiološka interpretacija pseudotumora u vanjskom slušnom kanalu ili srednjem uvu mora uključiti i ovu mogućnost u diferencijalnoj dijagnozi. Hirurška eksploracija i patohistološka dijagnoza su neophodne za postavljanje konačne dijagnoze i izbjegavanje mogućih komplikacija.

Ključne riječi: vanjski slušni kanal, pseudotumor, strana tijela, bubna šupljina